1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	
7	LEON MARK KIZER,
8	Plaintiff, ) 3:15-cv-00120-RCJ-WGC
9	vs. ') ORDER
10	PTP, INC. et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	
13	This case arises out of a dispute regarding the validity of a lease between Plaintiff Leon
14	Mark Kizer and Defendant PTP, Inc. for certain Indian land held in trust by the United States on
15	Plaintiff's behalf. The Court dismissed as to the claim that the purchase-option provision of the
16	Master Lease (as amended) was illegal but refused to dismiss the unjust enrichment claim.
17	Plaintiff has filed two motions to dismiss certain counterclaims and has moved to amend. The
18	Bureau of Indian Affairs has moved to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction. Due to ongoing
19	settlement discussions, the Court will deny the pending motions without prejudice.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CONCLUSION IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motions (ECF Nos. 373, 375, 381, 395) are DENIED without prejudice, and the Motion (ECF No. 396) is DENIED as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated this 23rd day of September, 2016. ROBERT C United States District Judge